From: BRCAC (ECN)

Sent: Tuesday, September 17, 2019 7:45 PM

To: CPC.BalboaReservoir; Balboa Reservoir Compliance (ECN)

Subject: FW: CONSEQUENCES OF THRESHOLD OF SIGNIFICANCE USED FOR TRANSIT DELAY

Attachments: Comment 12.docx; RESERVOIR-RELATED DELAY.docx

From: aj [mailto:ajahjah@att.net]

Sent: Saturday, September 14, 2019 9:04 PM

To: CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>; Poling, Jeanie (CPC) <jeanie.poling@sfgov.org>;

CPC.BalboaReservoir < CPC.BalboaReservoir@sfgov.org>

Cc: BRCAC (ECN) <br/>brcac@sfgov.org>

Subject: CONSEQUENCES OF THRESHOLD OF SIGNIFICANCE USED FOR TRANSIT DELAY

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## CONSEQUENCES OF THRESHOLD OF SIGNIFICANCE USED FOR TRANSIT DELAY

The "less-than-significant" determination for Impact TR-4 is invalid. It is invalid because its 4-minute threshold of significance/Performance Standard is arbitrarily high and has been arrived at with neither proper authority nor substantial evidence.

Allowance of a 4-minute Reservoir-related Transit Delay threshold of significance would violate the Transit First Policy.

Although the SEIR finds potentially significant impact for C-TR- 4, the potential impact is unfairly attributed to City College's FMP.

The actual real-world impact will be from the Reservoir Project; not City College. As such, the Reservoir Project's true impact to Transit Delay has been covered up by an egregiously liberal 4-minute threshold of significance. As such, the LTS determination for Impact TR-4 should objectively be invalid.

City College's future plans are fundamentally renovation projects to replace worn-out facilities. These renovation projects will not, in and of themselves—unlike the Reservoir Project—induce substantially greater demand for education services and resultant travel demand.

## The SEIR blames the victim in its discussion of Impact C-TR-4.

I wish to reinforce my earlier analysis of the inappropriateness of using a 4-minute threshold of significance in reaching a "less-than-significant" determination for Impact TR-4.

I have already provided several critiques of various aspects of the SEIR's analyses contained in Section 3.B, Transportation & Circulation.

I have already compared the numbers for "Project-Related Increase in Delay" provided in Table 3.B-18, *Transit Delay Analysis*. I compared the Project-Related Delay to scheduled MUNI running times for the 43 line.

## My analysis showed:

Option 1's "Project-Related Increase in Delay" of 115 seconds (1.9 minutes) represents a **27.4%** increase in travel time for the 7-minute running time segment between Monterey/Gennessee and Balboa Park Station.

Option 2's contribution of 141 seconds (2.4 minutes) of Reservoir-related delay represents a **33.6% increase in travel time** over the scheduled 7 minute running time between Monterey/Gennessee to Balboa Park Station.

I have analyzed the latest MUNI schedule information. I have attached a Table entitled "Reservoir-Related Delay in Relation to Reservoir Area MUNI Characteristics."

The Table compiles information gathered from official MUNI scheduling documents. The documents are "Rotations" and "Trains" that contain information on headways and timepoints.

The Table shows the percentage contribution of real-world Reservoir-related delay relative to current MUNI timepoint-to-timepoint running times, using the SEIR's 4-minute threshold of significance.

Percentage of increase in travel time over the existing MUNI running times are:

K Ingleside (between Geneva/San Jose and St. Francis Circle): 23.5% to 30.8%

8/8BX Bayshore/ Bayshore Express (Geneva/Mission-Unity Plaza) 50.0% to 66.7%

29 Sunset (19<sup>th</sup>/Holloway – Ocean/BART)
 25.0% to 33.3%

43 Masonic (Monterey/Gennessee – Geneva BART)
 44.4% to 57.1%

49 Van Ness (Mission/Ocean – Unity Plaza)
 50.0% to 57.1%

The lowest end of the range of Reservoir-related delay "authorized" by the SEIR is 23.5% increase over the K segment between Balboa Park Station and St. Francis Circle.

A threshold of significance that would allow 23.5% to 66.7% increases over existing running times is an egregiously poor threshold. FAIL and FUBAR.

Submitted by:

LINE	WEEKDAY			<b>BPS AREA</b>	RESERVOIR-RELATED		
	H	EADWA	Y	RUNNING	TRANSIT	Γ DELAY	
				TIME ROUTE	THRESHOLD OF SIGNIFICANCE = 4 minutes		
	(	minutes	)	SEGMENT			
	,		6	(between MUNI			
				timepoints)			
					Percentage of	Percentage of	
					delay	delay	
					contribution	contribution	
					to BPS Area	to City	
SOUR	CIE OI	F MIII		ATA:	route segment	Charter's	
		2021	a Vis did d	21 22 21 0	(deemed to be	MUNI 4-	
CALL KILL TO	משיגותו			N ACTIVE YOU	insignificant!)	minute late	
CURIR		ORTHI	CILALL	MUNI		criterion	
RAIILV	IYAW	ROTA	ATIO	NS AND			
						(deemed to be	
TRAIP					We have the property	insignificant!)	
K	AM	MID-	PM	KT	23.5% to	100%	
<b>Ingleside</b>	PEAK	DAY	PEAK	- 10	30.8%		
				Geneva/San	00.070		
				Jose-			
				~			
				St. Francis			
				Circle			
	IB:	IB	IB:	AM: 14			
	0.12	o	0.10	MID DAY 12			
	9-12	& OB.	9-10	MID-DAY: 13			
		OB:		DM. 17			
				PM: 17			

	OB:	10	OB:	AM: 15			
	8-10	10	8-10	MID-DAY: 15			
				PM: 16			
8/8BX	AM PEAK	MID- DAY	PM PEAK	<u>8/8BX</u>	(For Inbound only)	100%	
Bayshore	1 2			Geneva/Mission-	-		
				<b>Unity Plaza</b>	50% to 66.7%		
	IB:	IB:	IB:	AM: 8	00.770		
	6-7	7	6-7	MID-DAY: 6			
				PM: 8			
	OB:	OB:	OB:	(not available)			
	7	7-8	7	AM:			
				MID-DAY:			
				PM:			
LINE	WEEKDAY HEADWAY (minutes)			BPS AREA RUNNING TIME FOR	RESERVOIR-RELATED TRANSIT DELAY THRESHOLD OF SIGNIFICANCE = 4 minutes		
	(	minutes	)	ROUTE SEGMENT (between MUNI timepoints)	SIGNIFICANC	E – 4 minutes	
		minutes		SEGMENT	Percentage of delay contribution to BPS Area route segment (deemed to be insignificant!)	Percentage of delay contribution to City Charter's MUNI 4-minute late criterion (deemed to be insignificant!)	
29 Sunset	AM PEAK	MID- DAY	PM PEAK	SEGMENT (between MUNI	Percentage of delay contribution to BPS Area route segment (deemed to be	Percentage of delay contribution to City Charter's MUNI 4-minute late criterion (deemed to be	
	AM	MID-	PM	SEGMENT (between MUNI timepoints)	Percentage of delay contribution to BPS Area route segment (deemed to be insignificant!)	Percentage of delay contribution to City Charter's MUNI 4-minute late criterion (deemed to be insignificant!)	

		1		•	1	r ï	,
	9	&	10-12	MID-DAY: 14			
		OB:		PM: 15-17			
	OB:	02.	OB:	AM: 15-16			
	10	12	10	MID-DAY: 15			
				PM: 16			
43	AM PEAK	MID- DAY	PM PEAK	<u>43</u>	44.4% to	100%	
Masonic	ILAK	DAI	ILAK	Monterey/	57.1%		
				Gennessee- Geneva BART			
	IB:	IB	IB:	AM: 9			
	9	&	10	MID-DAY: 8			
		OB:		PM: 8			
	OB:		OB:	AM: 7-8			
	10	12	10	MID-DAY: 7			
				PM: 7			
-							
TINTE	***		***	DDC ADEA	DECEDIO	DEL ATER	
LINE		EEKDA EADWA		and the second s	BPS AREA RESERVOIR-RELATE TRANSIT DELAY		
	(minutes)		TIME ROUTE	THRESH			
			SEGMENT	SIGNIFICANO	CE = 4 minutes		
				(between MUNI timepoints)			
				- Imepoints)	Percentage of	Percentage of	
					delay	delay	
					contribution to BPS Area	contribution	
					route segment	to City Charter's	
					(deemed to be	MUNI 4-	
					insignificant!)	minute late criterion	
						(deemed to be	
•						insignificant!)	ĺ
L				<u>.</u>			

		l s					ĬI
<mark>49</mark>	AM	MID-	PM	<u>49</u>		100%	
	PEAK	DAY	PEAK				
Van Ness				Mission/Ocean-	50.0% to		
				<b>Unity Plaza</b>	57.1%		
	IB:	IB	IB:	AM: 8-9			
	8	&	8	MID-DAY: 8			
		OB:		PM: 9			
	OB:	9	OB:	AM: 8			
	10		7-8	MID-DAY: 7			
				PM: 8			
<mark>54</mark>	AM	MID-	PM	<u>54</u>			
	PEAK	DAY	PEAK				
<b>Felton</b>				Geneva/Mission-			
				Geneva BART			
	IB & OB:			AM: 4			
				MID-DAY: 4			
	20 min			PM: 5			
	ZV IIIII			AM: 4-5			
				MID-DAY: 4			
				PM: 5			